

Practice: 528 - Prescribed Grazing**Scenario: #1 - Low Intensity, > 7 Day Rotation Frequency****Scenario Description:**

Design and implementation of a grazing system that will enhance pasture condition and ecosystem function as well as optimize efficiency and economic return through monitoring (ex:photo points, stubble height after grazing, etc) & record keeping. Livestock graze each pasture for more than seven (7) days in rotation and adequate rest is provided for the forages.

Before Situation:

Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants and such use has a negative impact on pasture condition as well as soil and water resources. Inefficient use results in overgrazing, spot grazing, livestock trailing, concentration areas, uncontrolled access to streams and ponds, ephemeral erosion, gully erosion, streambank erosion. Stocking rates are higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.

After Situation:

Typical scenario is based on a grazing system consisting of a 30 animal unit cow/calf operation (including bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres. Activities include farm labor to mow or clip pastures; monitor and measure forage growth; complete record keeping; analyze plant growth and animal performance; and make decisions or other management techniques. Management techniques reduce the use of supplemental feed, control weeds, and reduce energy requirements. Prescribed grazing system is designed to protect the health and vigor of the plant communities that are in place. Livestock are managed in a way that enhances pasture condition and function through protection of sensitive areas and efficient harvest of forage resources. Runoff, sediment and nutrient loss are reduced by improving plant density, diversity and percent cover. Grazing system success is evaluated through short term monitoring and maintaining grazing stop height requirements. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.

Scenario Feature Measure:**Scenario Unit:** Acre**Scenario Typical Size:** 80**Scenario Cost:** \$2,259.69**Scenario Cost/Unit:** \$28.25**Cost Details (by category):**

Component Name	ID	Component Description	Unit	Price (\$/unit)	Quantity	Cost
Acquisition of Technical Knowledge						
Training, Workshops	294	Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants.	Each	\$44.18	1	\$44.18
Equipment/Installation						
Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit	967	Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only.	Each	\$45.96	1	\$45.96
All terrain vehicles, ATV	965	Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs.	Hour	\$32.17	10	\$321.70
Labor						
General Labor	231	Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.	Hour	\$22.31	60	\$1,338.60
Skilled Labor	230	Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc.	Hour	\$33.95	15	\$509.25

Practice: 528 - Prescribed Grazing**Scenario: #2 - Medium Intensity, 7-3 Days Rotation Frequency****Scenario Description:**

Design and implementation of a grazing system that will enhance pasture condition and ecosystem function as well as optimize efficiency and economic return through monitoring (ex:photo points, stubble height after grazing, etc) & record keeping. Livestock graze each pasture from three (3) to seven (7) days in rotation. Rotation is based on monitoring livestock demand and supply.

Before Situation:

Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants and such use has a negative impact on pasture condition, as well as soil and water resources. Inefficient use results in overgrazing, spot grazing, livestock trailing, concentration areas, uncontrolled access to streams and ponds, ephemeral erosion, gully erosion, streambank erosion. Stocking rates are higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.

After Situation:

Typical scenario is based on a grazing system consisting of a 30 animal unit cow/calf operation (including bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres. Scenario results in an increase (above the low intensity option) in labor required to complete the following activities: farm labor to mow or clip pastures; monitor and measure forage growth; complete record keeping; analyze plant growth and animal performance; and make decisions or other management techniques. Management techniques reduce the use of supplemental feed, control weeds, and reduce energy requirements. Prescribed grazing system is designed to protect the health and vigor of the plant communities that are in place. Livestock are managed in a way that enhances pasture condition and function through protection of sensitive areas and efficient harvest of forage resources. Runoff, sediment and nutrient loss are reduced by improving plant density, diversity and percent cover. Grazing system success is evaluated through short term monitoring and maintaining grazing stop height requirements. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.

Scenario Feature Measure:

Scenario Unit: Acre

Scenario Typical Size: 80

Scenario Cost: \$3,492.66

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$43.66

Cost Details (by category):

Component Name	ID	Component Description	Unit	Price (\$/unit)	Quantity	Cost
Equipment/Installation						
All terrain vehicles, ATV	965	Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs.	Hour	\$32.17	20	\$643.40
Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit	967	Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only.	Each	\$45.96	1	\$45.96
Labor						
Skilled Labor	230	Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc.	Hour	\$33.95	30	\$1,018.50
General Labor	231	Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.	Hour	\$22.31	80	\$1,784.80

Practice: 528 - Prescribed Grazing**Scenario: #3 - High Intensity, ≤2 Day Rotation Frequency****Scenario Description:**

Design and implementation of a grazing system that will enhance pasture condition and ecosystem function as well as optimize efficiency and economic return through monitoring (ex:photo points, stubble height after grazing, etc) & record keeping. Livestock graze each pasture/paddock from less than three (3) days in rotation. Rotation is based on monitoring livestock demand and supply.

Before Situation:

Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants and such use has a negative impact on pasture condition, as well as soil and water resources. Inefficient use results in overgrazing, spot grazing, livestock trailing, concentration areas, uncontrolled access to streams and ponds, ephemeral erosion, gully erosion, streambank erosion. Stocking rates are higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.

After Situation:

Typical scenario is based on a grazing system consisting of a 30 animal unit cow/calf operation (including bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres. Scenario results in an increase (above the medium intensity option) in labor required to complete the following activities: farm labor to mow or clip pastures; monitor stop grazing heights and measure forage growth; complete record keeping; analyze plant growth and animal performance; and make decisions or other management techniques. Management techniques reduce the use of supplemental feed, control weeds, and reduce energy requirements. Prescribed grazing system is designed to protect the health and vigor of the plant communities that are in place. Livestock are managed in a way that enhances pasture condition and function through protection of sensitive areas and efficient harvest of forage resources. Runoff, sediment and nutrient loss are reduced by improving plant density, diversity and percent cover. Grazing system success is evaluated through short term monitoring and maintaining grazing stop height requirements. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.

Scenario Feature Measure:

Scenario Unit: Acre

Scenario Typical Size: 80

Scenario Cost: \$4,902.35

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$61.28

Cost Details (by category):

Component Name	ID	Component Description	Unit	Price (\$/unit)	Quantity	Cost
Acquisition of Technical Knowledge						
Training, Workshops	294	Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants.	Each	\$44.18	3	\$132.54
Equipment/Installation						
All terrain vehicles, ATV	965	Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs.	Hour	\$32.17	30	\$965.10
Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit	967	Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only.	Each	\$45.96	1	\$45.96
Labor						
General Labor	231	Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.	Hour	\$22.31	100	\$2,231.00
Skilled Labor	230	Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc.	Hour	\$33.95	45	\$1,527.75

Practice: 528 - Prescribed Grazing**Scenario: #4 - Enhanced - Strip Grazing****Scenario Description:**

Design and implementation of a grazing system that will enhance pasture condition and ecosystem function as well as optimize efficiency and economic return through monitoring (ex: photos points, stubble height after grazing, etc.) & record keeping. Livestock are part of a managed grazing system which includes utilization of management techniques such as stockpiling/strip grazing to assist in extending the grazing season and improve animal demand and supply efficiency, or summer strip grazing on mature pasture to improve soil health by maintaining and/or improving ideal cover, plant diversity, organic matter and soil temperatures favorable for sustained microbial life.

Before Situation:

Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants and such use has a negative impact on pasture condition, as well as soil and water resources. Inefficient use results in overgrazing, spot grazing, livestock trailing, concentration areas, uncontrolled access to streams and ponds, ephemeral erosion, gully erosion, streambank erosion. Stocking rates are higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.

After Situation:

Typical scenario is based on a grazing system consisting of a 30 animal unit cow/calf operation (including bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres for a 200 to 290 day grazing season. Scenario results in an increase (above the Standard option) in labor required to complete the following activities: farm labor to mow or clip pastures; monitor and measure forage growth; complete record keeping; analyze plant growth and animal performance; and make decisions or other management techniques. Management techniques reduce the use of supplemental feed, control weeds, and reduce energy requirements. Prescribed grazing system is designed to protect the health and vigor of the plant communities that are in place. Livestock are managed in a way that enhances pasture condition and function through protection of sensitive areas and efficient harvest of forage resources. Runoff, sediment and nutrient loss are reduced by improving plant density, diversity and percent cover. Grazing system success is evaluated through short term monitoring. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing. Consultant or TSP used to develop detailed grazing plan. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.

Scenario Feature Measure:**Scenario Unit:** Acre**Scenario Typical Size:** 80**Scenario Cost:** \$5,776.79**Scenario Cost/Unit:** \$72.21**Cost Details (by category):**

Component Name	ID	Component Description	Unit	Price (\$/unit)	Quantity	Cost
Acquisition of Technical Knowledge						
Training, Workshops	294	Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants.	Each	\$44.18	3	\$132.54
Equipment/Installation						
Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit	967	Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only.	Each	\$45.96	1	\$45.96
All terrain vehicles, ATV	965	Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs.	Hour	\$32.17	30	\$965.10
Labor						
Skilled Labor	230	Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc.	Hour	\$33.95	45	\$1,527.75
Specialist Labor	235	Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services.	Hour	\$90.67	8	\$725.36
General Labor	231	Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.	Hour	\$22.31	100	\$2,231.00

Materials

Nutritional Balance Analyzer, fecal sample analysis only	1127	NIRS fecal analysis, animal performance report. Includes materials and shipping only.	Each	\$37.27	4	\$149.08
---	------	--	------	---------	---	----------

Practice: 528 - Prescribed Grazing**Scenario: #5 - High Density Grazing****Scenario Description:**

An improved grazing management system where livestock are grazed on pasture for at least 300 days per calendar year and managed at a stock density of at least 50,000 lbs for 75% of the grazing days. Pastures will be managed for a livestock utilization rate of 60% or less per grazing event.

Before Situation:

Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants and such use has a negative impact on pasture condition, as well as soil and water resources. Inefficient use results in overgrazing, spot grazing, livestock trailing, concentration areas, uncontrolled access to streams and ponds, ephemeral erosion, gully erosion, streambank erosion. Stocking rates are higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.

After Situation:

A grazing system for a 30 animal unit cow-calf operation (includes bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres and designed for a 300 day grazing season. The grazing system has a stock density of at least 50,000 pounds for 75% of the grazing days. Pastures will be monitored and measure pasture growth to ensure a livestock utilization rate of 60% or less per grazing event. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing is included. Management techniques will improve soil condition, reduce soil compaction, reduce the use of supplemental feed, reduce the need for weed control, and reduce energy requirements. Consultant or TSP used to develop detailed grazing plan. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.

Scenario Feature Measure:

Scenario Unit: Acre

Scenario Typical Size: 80

Scenario Cost: \$6,502.15

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$81.28

Cost Details (by category):

Component Name	ID	Component Description	Unit	Price (\$/unit)	Quantity	Cost
Acquisition of Technical Knowledge						
Training, Workshops	294	Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants.	Each	\$44.18	3	\$132.54
Equipment/Installation						
All terrain vehicles, ATV	965	Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs.	Hour	\$32.17	30	\$965.10
Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit	967	Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only.	Each	\$45.96	1	\$45.96
Labor						
Skilled Labor	230	Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc.	Hour	\$33.95	45	\$1,527.75
Specialist Labor	235	Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services.	Hour	\$90.67	16	\$1,450.72
General Labor	231	Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.	Hour	\$22.31	100	\$2,231.00
Materials						
Nutritional Balance Analyzer, fecal sample analysis only	1127	NIRS fecal analysis, animal performance report. Includes materials and shipping only.	Each	\$37.27	4	\$149.08

Practice: 528 - Prescribed Grazing**Scenario: #6 - Deferment for Wildlife****Scenario Description:**

Defer grazing of the pasture for a minimum of 90 days to manage for any of the following purposes: invasive weed control; improve the health of the forage plants; or provide cover for wildlife species. Keep records of dates out and monitor to determine when desired objectives of deferment are met. Does not include the purpose of deferment for the establishment of forages.

Before Situation:

Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants and such use has a negative impact on pasture condition, as well as soil and water resources. Inefficient use results in overgrazing, spot grazing, livestock trailing, concentration areas, uncontrolled access to streams and ponds, ephemeral erosion, gully erosion, streambank erosion. Stocking rates are higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.

After Situation:

Scenario describes activities completed to restrict grazing for a defined period during the normal grazing period to provide benefits for invasive weed control, improvement in the health of the forage plants or providing cover for wildlife species. Activities include moving livestock to alternate locations, sampling and analyzing pasture condition, recordkeeping. Foregone Income used represents the acreage of usable forage not utilized during the deferment period as a proportion of the grazing season. Typical size of 80 acre pasture operation with 30 animal units where 50% of the acreage (or 40 acres) is deferred from grazing for 90 days. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.

Scenario Feature Measure:**Scenario Unit:** Acre**Scenario Typical Size:** 40**Scenario Cost:** \$2,384.98**Scenario Cost/Unit:** \$59.62**Cost Details (by category):**

Component Name	ID	Component Description	Unit	Price (\$/unit)	Quantity	Cost
Equipment/Installation						
Truck, Pickup	939	Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included.	Hour	\$39.03	8	\$312.24
Trucking, moving livestock to new paddock	961	Livestock transportation costs to implement a grazing rotation using a gooseneck trailer 6'8" x 24'. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs.	Mile	\$3.76	50	\$188.00
Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit	967	Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only.	Each	\$45.96	1	\$45.96
Foregone Income						
FI, Grazing AUMs	2079	Grazing is the Primary Land Use	AUM	\$15.43	90	\$1,388.70
Labor						
General Labor	231	Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.	Hour	\$22.31	8	\$178.48
Skilled Labor	230	Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc.	Hour	\$33.95	8	\$271.60

Practice: 528 - Prescribed Grazing**Scenario: #7 - Long Term Deferment****Scenario Description:**

Defer the pasture for 210 days and up to a growing season to manage for invasive weeds when necessary, to improve the health of the plants and/or provide nesting habitat for wildlife species. Keep records of dates out and monitor to determine when desired objectives of deferment are met. Does not include the purpose of deferment for the establishment of forages.

Before Situation:

Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants and such use has a negative impact on pasture condition, as well as soil and water resources. Inefficient use results in overgrazing, spot grazing, livestock trailing, concentration areas, uncontrolled access to streams and ponds, ephemeral erosion, gully erosion, streambank erosion. Stocking rates are higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.

After Situation:

Scenario describes activities completed to restrict grazing for a defined period during the normal grazing period to provide benefits for invasive weed control, improvement in the health of the forage plants or providing cover for wildlife species. Activities include moving livestock to alternate locations, sampling and analyzing pasture condition, recordkeeping. Foregone Income used represents the acreage of usable forage not utilized during the deferment period as a proportion of the grazing season. Typical size of 80 acre pasture operation with 30 animal units where 75% of the acreage (or 60 acres) is deferred from grazing for 210 days. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.

Scenario Feature Measure:**Scenario Unit:** Acre**Scenario Typical Size:** 60**Scenario Cost:** \$4,686.66**Scenario Cost/Unit:** \$78.11**Cost Details (by category):**

Component Name	ID	Component Description	Unit	Price (\$/unit)	Quantity	Cost
Equipment/Installation						
Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit	967	Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only.	Each	\$45.96	1	\$45.96
Trucking, moving livestock to new paddock	961	Livestock transportation costs to implement a grazing rotation using a gooseneck trailer 6'8" x 24'. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs.	Mile	\$3.76	50	\$188.00
Truck, Pickup	939	Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included.	Hour	\$39.03	8	\$312.24
Foregone Income						
FI, Grazing AUMs	2079	Grazing is the Primary Land Use	AUM	\$15.43	210	\$3,240.30
Labor						
Skilled Labor	230	Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc.	Hour	\$33.95	16	\$543.20
General Labor	231	Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.	Hour	\$22.31	16	\$356.96

Practice: 528 - Prescribed Grazing**Scenario: #8 - Biological Control with Grazing Animals****Scenario Description:**

Management of herbaceous and/or woody plant species through the use of livestock such as goats, sheep or other grazing animal that will graze on the undesirable species. Payment is based on impacted acres only. Payment is based on the use of goats for problems where a stocking rate equivalent of 50 goats can adequately clear 1 acre of undesirable herbaceous species in one day (or equivalent stocking; for example 5 goats for 1 week to clear an acre), or equivalent number of other livestock. Costs are related to transportation of livestock, setting up temporary fencing and/or watering system. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.

Before Situation:

Area consists of herbaceous and/or woody weed species such as, but not limited to sericia lespedeza, japanese stilt grass, periwinkle, ironweed, ragweed, Amur cork tree, Siberian elm, callery pear, autumn olive, multiflora rose, barberry, burning bush, or honeysuckle, etc. that exceed the desirable ecological site condition degrading forage quality, promoting noxious and invasive species, increasing risk of soil erosion and degrading wildlife habitat. Undesirable species can contribute to degraded plant condition, inadequate feed & forage, and potential animal health issues.

After Situation:

Livestock grazing is managed to limit the regrowth of weed species and achieve a desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels.

Scenario Feature Measure: Acres treated**Scenario Unit:** Acre**Scenario Typical Size:** 1**Scenario Cost:** \$786.98**Scenario Cost/Unit:** \$786.98**Cost Details (by category):**

Component Name	ID	Component Description	Unit	Price (\$/unit)	Quantity	Cost
Labor						
General Labor	231	Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.	Hour	\$22.31	8	\$178.48
Materials						
Animals used for biological weed control	1130	Goats, Llamas, Sheep - Includes all support: fence, water, dog, mob, etc. Includes materials and shipping only.	Head per day	\$12.17	50	\$608.50